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Abstract

OBJECTIVES: To determine *in vitro* antimicrobial susceptibility and synergistic activity of colistin in combination with tigecycline against clinical strains of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (CRAB).

MATERIAL AND METHODS: Colistin and tigecycline minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of 12-clinical CRAB isolates were determined by broth microdilution. Checkerboard testing was performed to assess the interaction of the colistin-tigecycline combination. Fractional inhibitory concentration indexes (ΣFIC) in the range of 0.5 to 1.0, > 1.0 to < 4.0 and ≥ 4.0 are considered as additive, indifferent, and antagonistic effects, respectively.

RESULTS: All CRAB isolates were susceptible to colistin. Three out of 12 CRABs were susceptible to tigecycline based on a pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamics (PK-PD) breakpoint (MICs ≤ 0.25 µg/mL). The MIC of both antimicrobials was decreased in most of the CRAB isolates in the checkerboard synergy testing. The interaction of colistin and tigecycline combination revealed both additive and indifferent effects in five and seven of the 12-CRAB isolates, respectively. Neither synergism nor antagonism of colistin and tigecycline combination was demonstrated.

CONCLUSION: No synergistic effect between colistin and tigecycline against CRAB isolates was detected. However, the combination of these two drugs is likely to result in a decrease in the MIC of both drugs. Further studies with a larger sample to determine the *in vitro* synergistic activity of colistin and tigecycline combination are required.
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to combine with colistin for hospital-acquired pneumonia caused by the CRAB.\textsuperscript{10,13,14} The data from \textit{in vitro} studies showed a synergistic rate of colistin plus tigecycline against CRAB strains ranging between 2.0\% and 67.4\%\textsuperscript{8,9,15,16} However, antagonistic effects of such a combination might be detected.\textsuperscript{17} Since the benefit of a combination of colistin and tigecycline is ambiguous, we conducted this particular study to determine \textit{in vitro} antimicrobial susceptibility and the synergistic activity of colistin in combination with tigecycline against clinical strains of CRAB.

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

\textit{Bacterial strains}

All of the clinical CRAB isolates were obtained from patients who were admitted to Phayathai 2 International Hospital, Bangkok between August 2014 and April 2015. Only the first isolate from each patient was allowed for testing. For this study, CRAB was defined as isolates that were resistant to carbapenems (imipenem or meropenem) by a routine disk diffusion method according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI)2018.\textsuperscript{18} This study protocol was approved with a waiver for informed consent [No. ID0013/59, issued date].

\textit{Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration}

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of colistin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and tigecycline (Wago Pure Chemical Industry, Osaka, Japan) were determined by broth microdilution using Mueller-Hinton broth II (Difco, Detroit, MI). All tested isolates were incubated at 35°C for 20 hours. The lowest concentration of an antimicrobial agent that inhibited the visible growth of CRAB isolate was defined as the MIC. \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} ATCC 27853 [Department of Medical Sciences Type (DMST)] culture collection, Bangkok, Thailand] was used as positive control.

Colistin susceptible breakpoints were defined as \leq 2 \mu g/mL according to CLSI 2018. Due to the lack of a susceptible breakpoint, we used the pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamics (PK-PD) breakpoint according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing 2018 (EUCAST). A MIC breakpoint of \leq 0.25 \mu g/mL was established for tigecycline. If the MIC was less than or equal to the PK-PD susceptible breakpoint, this suggested that tigecycline could be used.\textsuperscript{19}

\textit{The synergistic testing}

Checkerboard synergy testing was performed in sterile 96-well U-bottom plates. Each well of columns was filled two-fold with a serial dilution of tigecycline and rows in which each well were filled with a serial dilution of the colistin. The 12-tigecycline concentrations were 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, and 32 \mu g/mL. The 8-colistin concentrations were 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 16 \mu g/mL. Inoculum was added to each well to achieve a final concentration of 1x10^5 cfu/well. The plates were incubated at 35°C for 20 hours. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration inhibiting visible growth of bacteria in the well. Fractional inhibitory concentration index (ΣFIC) was calculated from the following equation.

\[
\Sigma FIC = \frac{MIC of colistin in combination}{MIC of colistin alone} + \frac{MIC of tigecycline in combination}{MIC of tigecycline alone}
\]

The results of a 4-fold reduction in the MICs compared with the MICs of agents alone are synergistic (ΣFIC ≤ 0.5). Fractional inhibitory concentration indexes in the range of 0.5 to 1.0, > 1.0 to < 4.0 and ≥ 4.0 are considered as additive, indifferent, and antagonistic effects, respectively.

\textbf{Results}

The MICs and synergistic testing of the 12-CRAB isolate are shown in Table 1. All of CRAB isolates were susceptible to colistin with MICs in a range of 1 to 2 \mu g/mL whereas MICs of tigecycline against CRAB ranged 0.125 to 3.0 \mu g/mL. Only three out of 12 (25\%) CRAB isolates were classified as tigecycline susceptible strains. With synergistic testing, the MICs of both antimicrobials were decreased in most of the CRAB isolates. In combination, colistin and tigecycline MICs were reduced to 0.25–1.0 \mu g/mL and 0.125–2.0 \mu g/mL, respectively. The effects of tigecycline combined with colistin on most of the CRAB isolates reverted to tigecycline susceptible strains (10 out of 12 isolates; 83.3\%).

The ΣFICs of colistin combined with tigecycline were in the range of 0.56 to 2.5. The colistin and tigecycline combination revealed additive and indifferent effects in five and seven of the 12-CRAB isolates, respectively. Neither synergism nor antagonism of the combination of colistin and tigecycline was demonstrated in this study.

\textbf{Discussion}

In the present study, our CRAB isolates were universally susceptible to colistin. Only one-fourth of the CRAB isolates were susceptible to tigecycline, based on PK-PD breakpoints (tigecycline < 0.25 \mu g/mL). The \textit{A. baumannii}, for which EUCAST breakpoints have not been determined, was investigated for antimicrobial susceptibility using interpretation based on PK-PD breakpoints and the patient is probably treatable with tigecycline.

This low threshold of the tigecycline-breakpoint is due to a maximum plasma concentration of multiple doses of 50-mg intravenous measuring only 0.63 \mu g/mL.\textsuperscript{11} Moreover, pneumonia is the most prominent source infected with CRAB. Mean tigecycline concentrations in the lung epithelial lining fluid (ELF) at each bronchoscopy time point ranged from 0.37 \mu g/mL at 6 h to 0.06 \mu g/mL at 24 h.\textsuperscript{20} Thus, tigecycline monotherapy for nosocomial pneumonia should be used with caution. This warning was addressed in a meta-analysis study by Arthur et al.\textsuperscript{21} showing a statistically significant decrease in the clinical cure for tigecycline when compared with...
imipenem-cilastatin (OR 0.44, 95% CI 0.23 to 0.84). Data from matched cohort analysis also showed a higher mortality rate in MDR *A. baumannii* pneumonia patients who received tigecycline when compared with colistin groups among those with tigecycline MIC > 2 µg/mL. In the setting of extensively drug-resistant (XDR) *A. baumannii* bacteremia, increased 14-day mortality was associated with colistin-tigecycline therapy in those with a tigecycline MIC greater than 2 µg/mL. Thus, the antibiotic combination to reduce the MIC of tigecycline or increase the dose of tigecycline might be a therapeutic option in clinical practice.

In our synergy testing, there were no synergistic effects of colistin combined with tigecycline. Similarly, several previous studies evaluating the *in vitro* synergistic activity showed minimal effects of colistin combined with tigecycline. Ni et al. reported only a 4.3% synergy rate among multidrug-resistant (MDR) *A. baumannii* strains by means of the checkerboard method. In the same way, Karaoglan et al. and Kaya et al. have determined by the E-test method that a colistin/tigecycline combination displayed synergistic activity in 12% and 2% of MDR *A. baumannii* strains. There were no antagonistic effects in our findings. In contrast, Cikman et al. reported 80% antagonistic effects from a colistin/tigecycline combination in CRAB strains. This different outcome might be a result of the method used for synergy testing. Recently, a joint EUCAST and CLSI subcommittee issued recommendations confirming that broth microdilution (BMD) is so far the only valid method for MIC determination and that E-tests have questioned the validity of MICs. Thus, synergy testing using checkerboard based on BMD is more reliable for interpreting the effect of colistin combination.

Despite no synergistic effects observed in our study, there was no CRAB strain with tigecycline MIC > 2 µg/mL after combination with colistin. Additionally, the CRAB isolates with tigecycline MIC below > 0.25 µg/mL increased up to 83% as susceptible strains. This synergy effect might be useful for optimization of tigecycline for CRAB treatment. Thus, we recommend colistin combined with tigecycline to treat CRAB infection as documented therapy when the results from MIC study revealing tigecycline MIC > 0.25 µg/mL.

There were several limitations in our study. For example, we included only 12-CRAB isolates, and this study was not intended to study the efficacy of the combination of colistin and tigecycline to prevent antimicrobial resistance. Also, the benefits of colistin-tigecycline combination in real practice need further study.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study show that there is no synergistic effect between colistin and tigecycline in the inhibition of CRAB. However, the combination of these two drugs is likely to result in a decrease in the MIC of both drugs. A colistin and tigecycline combination should be considered to treat CRAB infection when the pathogen is susceptible to colistin and tigecycline MIC > 0.25 µg/mL. Further studies with a larger sample to determine the *in vitro* synergistic activity of colistin and tigecycline combination is required.
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