

Mesenchymal Stem Cells



Srivatanakul P, PhD
email: kawai_mouy@hotmail.com

Petcharin Srivatanakul, PhD¹

Keywords: mesenchymal stem cells, stem cells MSCs, bone marrow, dental pulp, DPSC, SHED, stromal vascular fraction, SVF

¹ Lab Director, BioEden Asia Co.,Ltd

*Address Correspondence to author:
Bioeden Asia Co.,Ltd. 38 O House Convent 14th Floor,
Unit 14D Convent Street, Silom, Bangkok, Bangkok 10500 Thailand.
Tel : 02-6320042-44 Fax : 02-6320042
E-mail: kawai_mouy@hotmail.com

Received February 15, 2013.
Revision received March 6, 2013.
accepted after revision March 18, 2013.
Bangkok Med J 2013;6:71-79.
E-journal: <http://www.bangkokmedjournal.com>

Stem cells are a special type of cell, which can be found in almost all types of tissue and through the entire life span of multicellular organisms. Their main function is to provide tissue development, homeostasis and to repair tissue damage. Stem cells are characterised as cells that have the capacity to self renew, multipotency/pluripotency, clonality, and are divided into embryonic stem cells and adult stem cells.

Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs)

Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) are a group of adult stem cells occurring naturally in the body. Adult stem cells are undifferentiated cells found in numerous tissues throughout the body that divide to replenish dying cells and to regenerate damaged tissues. To date, other than bone marrow stem cells, MSCs have been identified in a variety of tissues,¹⁻³ such as adipose tissue, peripheral blood, spleen, brain, synovial fluid, dermis, muscle, dental pulp, umbilical cord, placenta, skin, liver, pancreas and intestines that are differentiated along several mesenchymal lineages. On the other hand, there are significant differences in the proliferation and their differentiation abilities, and in harvesting procedures among these MSCs.

In 2007, The International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) agreed that a MSC should adhere to plastic in standard culture conditions; and express ($\geq 95\%$) CD105, CD73, CD90 and not express ($\leq 2\%$) CD45, CD34, CD14 or CD11b, CD79a or CD19, HLA-DR and should give at least three differentiated lineages: osteoblastic, adipogenic, chondroblastic (this needs to be demonstrated by staining of in vitro differentiated cell cultures).⁴ However, the isolation of stem cells remains a major obstacle because of the lack of universally accepted markers. There are still controversies in obtaining reproducible results by the published methods, especially where differentiation protocols are concerned.⁵⁻⁹ Meanwhile, different isolation methods cause striking impacts on the differentiation potential of adult stem cells.^{10,11} There is a limited number of studies comparing the differentiation capacity of stem cells obtained from various sources using the same differentiation protocols.¹²⁻¹⁷ Since there is no consistency between the established protocols of different labs, it is also quite challenging to interpret previously reported data.

MSCs have generated considerable biomedical interest since their multi-lineage potential was first identified in 1999.¹⁸ MSCs can differentiate several cell types and produce important growth factors and cytokines.^{19,20} MSCs have the ability to modify the response of immune cells thereby associating with immune-related disorders, especially autoimmune diseases.^{21,22} Despite the wide distribution of MSCs in the body, the bone marrow remains the principal source for most MSC-based pre-clinical and clinical studies where MSCs have mainly been characterized after isolation.¹⁹ Actually, MSCs are a rare population in bone marrow aspirates.

The frequency of MSCs is approximately 1/10⁶ nucleated cells in adult bone marrow and 1/10⁴ nucleated cells in umbilical cord.²³ The number of MSCs has been noted to decrease with age.²⁴ Later on, more primitive MSCs were discovered. Immunomagnetically separated cells were named mesodermal progenitor cells (MPCs) or multipotent adult progenitor cells (MAPCs).^{25,26}

Expansion of Mesenchymal Stem Cells

The expansion of MSCs is a necessity for clinical use. MSCs are rare in the human body but can be expanded in vitro to hundreds of millions of cells, isolated from the other cells by adherence to plastic and consecutive passaging. MSCs proliferate to spindle-shaped cells in confluent cultures. Although homogeneous by light microscopy, even single cell-derived colonies form a molecularly heterogeneous population of cells that vary to some extent in their differentiative capacity. Even if MSCs rapidly expand 1 billion-fold, individual cells in a culture exhibit a highly variable expansion potential. Furthermore, the cell yield after expansion varies with the age and condition of the donor and with the harvesting techniques used. Naturally, differences in isolation techniques, culture conditions, media additives, and sub-culturing techniques greatly affect cell yield and possibly also the phenotype of the expanded cell product. The gene expression/proteomics of MSCs that have been culture-expanded depend on the culture conditions, passage, species, and other factors which may or may not reflect in vivo events. Moderate subcultivation will not

change the karyotype or telomerase activity of MSCs, but if the cells are cultured, many passages, and signs of senescence and apoptosis appear.²⁷

Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Bone Marrow (Figure 1)

MSCs were first identified in the stromal compartment of bone marrow by Friedenstein and colleagues in 1960s.²⁸⁻³¹ MSCs are conventionally extracted from bone marrow sources as a cellular therapy for inflammatory associated conditions. Specifically, the most advanced clinical trials in the area of regenerative medicine have been performed by the company Osiris, whose main product is a ‘universal donor’ MSC, termed ‘Prochymal’. This cellular product has entered Phase III trials in graft versus host disease, and is currently being tested for heart failure.³² Other bone marrow derived MSC-like products are in clinical trials, for example, Mesoblast is in Phase III assessing its Mesenchymal Precursor Cell for efficacy in post hematopoietic transplant graft failure, as well as in Phase II for heart failure.³³ Therapeutic advantages of MSC include their ability to migrate to injured tissue, in part via detections of hypoxia through the CXCR4-SDF-1 axis differentiation activity into multiple tissues release of trophic factors inhibition of apoptosis stimulation of angiogenesis, inhibition of inflammation, and stimulation of Treg activity.³⁴⁻⁴⁴ Despite the advantages of the current approaches, bone marrow contains relatively small numbers of MSC, thus, as previously mentioned, therapeutics with bone marrow for systemic applications requires ex vivo expansion.




Figure 1: A. CD34-/CD45- cells show fibroblastic morphology typical of MSCs.
 B. CD34+/CD45+ cells show spherical morphology consistent with lymphohematopoietic cells.
 C. FACS analysis of murine MSCs. Cells were uniformly negative for CD34 and positive for CD44 (95±0.6%), CD90 (99.1±0.1%), and CD105 (89±2.1%), markers associated with MSCs.



Figure 2: Stromal Vascular Fraction (SVF) extracted from adipose tissue.




Figure 3: Mesenchymal Stem Cells derived from adipose tissue.

Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Adipose Tissue

Adipose tissue contains approximately 100-1000 fold higher MSC concentrations, or approximately 50-100,000 MSC per ml.⁴⁵ Given the relative ease of extracting 500 ml of lipoaspirate, it is conceptually feasible to generate a 25-50 million cell dose of MSC, which is close to the systemic doses of MSC that are typically used in clinical trials of allogeneic expanded cells (e.g. 50-100 million cells in various clinical trials).⁴⁶ Conceptually, given that the MSC present in the stromal vascular fraction (SVF, Figure 2) are autologous, one could envision higher therapeutic potential due to the lack of allo-immune clearance when compared to allogeneic MSC, although this needs to be assessed experimentally.

Adipose MSCs (Figure 3) contain several similarities and differences when compared to bone marrow derived MSC, although this area is still considered to be controversial. Specifically, in animal cardiac infarct models, it has been demonstrated that expanded adipose MSCs are superior to bone marrow MSC in terms of stimulating angiogenesis, decreasing cardiac pathology, and stimulating VEGF and FGF secretion.⁴⁷ Using an in vivo lentiviral-labeled system, it was demonstrated that adipose-derived MSC (ASC) have a superior ability to BM derived MSC (BDSC) to integrate into cardiac muscle after injury, as well as to restore function.⁴⁸ In addition to specific propensities for differentiation, adipose tissue-derived MSC appear to be superior to bone marrow in terms of proliferative potential without loss of telomere length. Vidal et al.⁴⁹ demonstrated that adipose MSC could multiply almost twice as many cell passages without undergoing senescence when compared to bone marrow MSC.


A much simpler procedure, for which adipose tissue is uniquely suited, is the administration of autologous, non-expanded cellular fraction. The rationale behind this derives from observations that: a) adipose tissue contains substantially higher numbers of MSC compared to bone marrow⁵⁰ b) MSC from adipose tissue do not appear to decrease in number as a result of age.^{51,52} It has also

been reported that the expression level of 5 chemokine receptors (CCR1, CXCR4, CCR7, CXCR6, and CXCR3) is higher in ASC than BDSC, which indicates ASC might show a better migration and homing capacity following transplantation.⁵³ These distinct characteristics will determine the strategy for cell-based therapy.

Thus it appears that the MSC component of adipose tissue possesses numerous preclinical and clinical therapeutic properties and may be an important component of the SVF cell population that is responsible for therapeutic effects observed after administration. Patients received the indicated amount of cells by intravenous injection (2×10^6 cells per ml diluted in Saline solution), intra-articular injection (2.5×10^6 cells per ml in each injured joint, diluted in Saline solution and the patient's own serum). Multiple injections of cells were given to increase the therapeutic efficacy. Follow-ups were performed for all patients at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months. SVF cells were isolated and prepared under the guidelines of Good Tissue Practices 21 CFR 1271 as related to sample screening and processing in the sterile flow hood, inside of a class 10,000 clean room.⁵⁴ Thirteen patients with rheumatoid arthritis were treated with 38-148 million SVF cells intravenously and intra-articularly. Although no hematopoietic or biological abnormalities were noted, one of the patients reported facial flushing, fever and myalgia after a third of four injections. These symptoms all resolved spontaneously.

Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Dental Pulp (DPSC)

Dental pulp (DP) is a well defined compartment of soft tissue, which keeps a primitive structure similar to the gelatinous tissue of the umbilical cord. Dental pulp represents a well delimited separated compartment from other tissues, which retains a unique histological structure and a stem cell niche. Since there are two sources for dental pulp development (dental mesenchyme of neural crest origin and vascular mesenchyme (Figure 4)) there are two different lines of DPSCs inside the DP. DPSCs can be isolated from two DP compartments. Jakub Suchánek



© 2008 Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.

Credit: Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.
<http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/media/112882/Cross-section-of-an-adult-human-molar>

Figure 4: Tooth; cross section of an adult human.

and co-workers⁵⁵ named these compartments according to their localization within the DP- subodontoblastic compartment (inner surface of tooth and outer part of DP; SOc) and perivascular compartment (the inner part of DP;PVC). DPSCs isolated from PVC were spindle-shaped with long processes. Conversely, DPSCs from SOc were more rounded.

In the year 2000, Gronthos and co-workers⁵⁶ isolated stem cells from the human dental pulp (DPSCs). The pulp tissue was extracted from impacted third molars. In the year 2003, Miura et al⁵⁷ isolated stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED; Figure 5). DPSCs can be cultivated for a long time, over 60 population doublings in cultivation media designed for bone marrow MPCs.⁵⁵ After reaching Hayflick's limit, they still have a normal karyotype. Initial doubling time of the cultures was from 12 to 50 hours for the first 40 population doublings, after reaching 50 population doublings, doubling time had increased to 60–90 hours. Regression analysis of the unaccumulated population doublings proved a tight dependence of population doublings on passage number and slow decrease of proliferation potential. In comparison with bone marrow MPCs, DPSCs share similar biological characteristics and stem cell properties. The results of our experiments proved that both DPSCs and MPCs are highly proliferative; clonogenic cells that can be expanded beyond Hayflick's limit and remain cytogenetically stable. Moreover two different populations of DPSCs can be isolated. These DPSCs lines differed from one another in morphology. Because of their high proliferative and differentiation potential, DPSCs can become a more attractive, easily accessible source of adult stem cells for therapeutic purposes.




Figure 5: Mesenchymal stem cells derived from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED) Cells with the ability to develop into wide range tissues.

Cultivated DPSCs and SHED were highly proliferative and cytogenetically stable stem cells. Morphological differences of cells isolated from both defined compartments were not related to changes in proliferation potential. Over the entire cultivation period, Jakub Suchánek and co-workers⁵⁵ did not observe any changes in cell viability and cells remained undifferentiated. Dental pulp has represented an alternative and easily accessible source for obtaining tissue-specific stem cells which are histocompatible with tissues of the individual patient. In comparison with bone marrow MPCs, DPSCs share similar biological characteristics and stem cell properties. DNA analysis proved that DPSCs have more cells in S-G2 phase than bone marrow MPCs.⁵⁵ A higher proliferation activity of DPSCs was confirmed by DT trend analysis. In addition, any signs of spontaneous differentiation were not observed during DPSCs long term cultivation.

Stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth show higher proliferation rates and increased population doubling time than stem cells from human permanent teeth pulp.^{9,57} Apart from deciduous teeth, the umbilical cord is another postnatal organ discarded after birth and the collection of cells does not require an invasive procedure with ethical concerns. Stromal cells, as the dominant cells of this fetus-derived tissue, possess multipotent properties between embryonic stem cells and adult stem cells. They bear a relatively higher proliferation rate and self-renewal capacity.⁵⁸ The suitable cells should be chosen for specific tissue engineering trials. The most reliable cell source for dental tissue engineering is that of autologous pulp stem/progenitor cells isolated from deciduous teeth, which have been exfoliated naturally.

The Potential Clinical Use of Mesenchymal Stem Cells

A significant improvement in understanding MSC biology in recent years has paved the way for their potential clinical use. A new era has begun in the treatment of diseases with the discovery of stem cells from diverse organs and tissues. Increasing evidence suggests that one mechanism of action by which cells provide tissue protection and repair may involve paracrine factors, including cytokines and growth factors, released from transplanted stem cells into the surrounding tissue.⁵⁹ There is increasing evidence that stem cells themselves, specifically MSCs, secrete a variety of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines. MSCs represent an advantageous cell type for allogeneic transplantation as well because MSCs are immune-privileged with low major histocompatibility complex I (MHC I) and no MHC II expression, therefore possessing a reduced risk of allogeneic transplant rejection.¹⁹

Different tissue-originated MSCs may have variance in their differentiation capacity even if cultured in exactly the same microenvironment. While investigators report studies of MSCs using different methods to isolate the cells and using different approaches to characterize the cells, the considerable therapeutic potential of human MSCs has generated markedly increasing interest in a wide variety of biomedical disciplines. Thus it is increasingly difficult to compare study outcomes, which hinders progress in the field. Obviously, it is critical to have an acknowledged standard to evaluate the characteristics of MSCs.

Cardiovascular therapeutic potential

The cardiovascular therapeutic potential of bone marrow mesenchymal stromal/stem cells (MSCs) is largely mediated by paracrine effects. The traditional preparation of MSC has involved plastic adherence-isolation. In contrast, prospective immunoselection aims to improve cell isolation by enriching mesenchymal precursor cells (MPC) of higher purity. This study compared the biological characteristics and cardiovascular trophic activity of plastic adherence-isolated MSC (PA-MSC) and MPC prepared from the same human donors by immunoselection for stromal precursor antigen-1 (STRO-1). Compared to PA-MSC, STRO-1-MPC displayed greater (1) clonogenicity, proliferative capacity, multilineage differentiation potential, and mRNA expression of mesenchymal stem cell-related transcripts. In vitro assays demonstrated that conditioned medium from STRO-1-MPC had greater paracrine activity than PA-MSC, with respect to cardiac cell proliferation and migration and endothelial cell migration and tube formation. Enrichment for STRO-1 is also accompanied by increased expression of cardiovascular-relevant cytokines and enhanced trophic activity.⁶⁰ Over the last decade, cellular therapy has emerged as a potential adjunct in the management of ischemic heart disease and congestive heart failure.⁶¹ Preclinical and clinical studies have shown that bone

marrow (BM)-derived MSC are capable of mediating cardiovascular reparative effects, predominantly through indirect, paracrine mechanisms that target endogenous cardiomyocytes and vascular cells.⁶²⁻⁶⁵ The field of MSC research remains hindered by a lack of uniformity in the methods used for cell isolation, culture, and characterization. Until now, the majority of in vitro and in vivo cardiovascular studies have utilized BM MSC prepared by plastic adherence-isolation.^{18,66} However, this non-selective technique is limited both by the low frequency of clonogenic colony forming units-fibroblastic (CFU-F) in adult human BM and the contamination of immature mesenchymal precursor cells (MPC) with more mature stromal and non-mesenchymal cell types.⁶⁷ Prospective immunoselection has been advocated as an alternative strategy for isolating pure populations of immature MPC, based on their expression of cell surface antigens to which specific monoclonal antibodies (mAb) may be directed. One such example is the murine IgM mAb that identifies stromal precursor antigen-1 (STRO-1). The STRO-1 antigen is expressed on the surface of approximately 10–20% of adult human BM that includes all CFU-F, Glycophorin-A⁺ nucleated red cells, and a small subset of CD19⁺ B-cells, but is not expressed on hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSC).⁶⁸ STRO-1 is widely regarded as a marker of early mesenchymal/stromal precursor cells, because it has been strongly linked to mesenchymal cell clonogenicity, plasticity, and other progenitor cell characteristics.⁶⁹⁻⁷⁴ This study also presents new findings to show that the presence of STRO-1⁺ precursors is an important indicator of the cardiovascular paracrine properties of mesenchymal cells. Many of the limitations of MSC therapy for cardiovascular disease arise from the inadequate engraftment and transdifferentiation of transplanted cells in recipient myocardium.⁷⁵ Crucially, by comparison to plastic adherence-isolation, the expanded progeny of STRO-1-MPC displays biological characteristics indicative of a higher retention of immature precursor cells supporting the notion that improving the precision and quality of STRO-1-MPC isolation is an important consideration in optimizing mesenchymal cell biology and repair.

Novel wound-healing promotion therapy

Chronic wounds are difficult to heal, and little improvement has been made in preventing the associated morbidity and disability over the past few decades.⁷⁶ The best available treatment for chronic wounds achieves only a 50% healing rate. Therefore innovative treatments to enhance wound healing and regeneration are needed. The major goal of wound-healing biology is to discover how skin can be induced to reconstruct damaged parts more perfectly.⁷⁷

SHED and hMSCs (human mesenchymal stem cells) can enhance wound healing by promoting re-epithelialization and the relationship with the extracellular matrix, especially HA.⁷⁸ Treatments using MSCs would be effective, but the number, proliferation and differentiation

potential of MSCs decline with increasing age.⁷⁹ On the other hand, SHED can be obtained without any invasion and could be a substitute for MSCs.⁵⁷ SHED significantly promotes wound healing compared with Fibro and control groups.⁷⁸ Deciduous teeth, which are considered to be medical waste, could provide novel therapeutic approaches for the treatment of wounds and novel stem-cell sources for wound healing.⁷⁸

Implications of the immunoregulatory functions of mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of human liver diseases

The transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) has been recently studied in animal models, and in clinical trials of patients with fulminant hepatic failure, end-stage liver diseases and inherited metabolic disorders. Modulatory cytokines produced by MSCs can inhibit immunocyte proliferation and migration to the liver, thereby attenuating inflammatory injury and reducing hepatocyte apoptosis. In addition, MSCs play an important role in regressing liver fibrosis and in supporting the function, proliferation and differentiation of endogenous hepatocytes under appropriate conditions.⁸⁰ These findings indicate that MSC treatment is promising in the therapy of liver diseases, and although remarkable progress has been achieved in basic and clinical MSC studies, optimal therapeutic regimens for the clinical application of MSCs, such as optimal doses, transplantation routines and interval periods for transplantation, need to be examined in more detail.

Anti-inflammatory and anti-tumor effects

It has been demonstrated that MSC exhibit innate anti-tumor effects against PANC-1 cells and can serve as delivery vehicles for IFN- β for the treatment of pancreatic cancer. However, these beneficial effects may be lost in therapies combining MSC with anti-inflamma-

tory agents.⁸¹ It is now clear that trophic modulation of inflammation, cell death, fibrosis, and tissue repair are the main mechanisms of MSC therapy. Delivery of growth factors, cytokines, and other signaling molecules secreted by MSCs is often sufficient to obtain therapeutic effects.⁸²

Other Diseases

It has been shown that the transplantation of MSCs could be an effective therapy for many diseases,⁸³⁻¹⁰³ including blood disease, diabetes type 1 and 2, osteoarthritis, lung disease, spinal cord injury, liver injury, stroke, myocardial infarction, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, parkinson's disease, neural disease, acute graft-versus-host-disease (GVHD), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), kidney disease and cancers. To date, hundreds of clinical trials using MSCs have been registered in the database (<http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/>) of the US national institutes of health. However, it is essential to find the specific adult stem cell with the greatest potential for tissue engineering and transplantation, those which require good survival rates and stable hemodynamic behavior. In addition, the difference between gene and protein expressions in different adult stem cells has to be determined first. The success of stem cell-based therapy will depend on cell availability, the potential to differentiate between specific cell lineage, inflammation response after transplantation, etc. Mesenchymal stem cell types from different sources could partly fulfill the criteria of being a suitable candidate for a specific lineage, which in turn is very important in regenerative cell therapies.

References

1. Prockop DJ. Marrow stromal cells as stem cells for nonhematopoietic tissues. *Science* 1997; 276:71-4.
2. Rodriguez AM, Elabd C, Amri EZ, et al. The human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. *Biochimie* 2005;87:125-8.
3. Yıldırım S, Balcı D, Akpınar P, et al. Differentiation potentials of two stroma-resident tissue-specific stem cells. *Niche* 2012;1:1-7.
4. Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, et al. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy* 2006;8:315-7.
5. Suchanek J, Visek B, Soukup T, et al. Stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth- isolation, long term cultivation and phenotypical analysis. *Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove)* 2010;53:93-9.
6. Hirata TM, Ishkitiev N, Yaegaki K, et al. Expression of multiple stem cell markers in dental pulp cells cultured in serum-free media. *J Endod* 2010;36:1139-44.
7. Yu J, He H, Tang C, et al. Differentiation potential of STRO-1+ dental pulp stem cells changes during cell passaging. *BMC Cell Biol* 2010;11:32.
8. Iohara K, Zheng L, Wake H, et al. A novel stem cell source for vasculogenesis in ischemia: subfraction of side population cells from dental pulp. *Stem Cells* 2008;26:2408-18.
9. Liu H, Gronthos S, Shi S. Dental pulp stem cells. *Methods Enzymol* 2006;419:99-113.
10. Bakopoulou A, Leyhausen G, Volk J, et al. Assessment of the impact of two different isolation methods on the osteo/odontogenic differentiation potential of human dental stem cells derived from deciduous teeth. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2011;88:130-41.

11. Spath L, Rotilio V, Alessandrini M, et al. Explant-derived human dental pulp stem cells enhance differentiation and proliferation potentials. *J Cell Mol Med* 2010;14:1635-44.
12. De Rosa A, Tirino V, Paino F, et al. Amniotic fluid-derived mesenchymal stem cells lead to bone differentiation when cocultured with dental pulp stem cells. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2011;17:645-53.
13. Mrozik KM, Zilm PS, Bagley CJ, et al. Proteomic characterization of mesenchymal stem cell-like populations derived from ovine periodontal ligament, dental pulp, and bone marrow: analysis of differentially expressed proteins. *Stem Cells Dev* 2010;19:1485-99.
14. Huang GT, Gronthos S, Shi S. Mesenchymal stem cells derived from dental tissues vs. those from other sources: their biology and role in regenerative medicine. *J Dent Res* 2009;88:792-806.
15. Oktar PA, Yildirim S, Balci D, et al. Continual expression throughout the cell cycle and down regulation upon adipogenic differentiation makes nucleostemin a vital human MSC proliferation marker. *Stem Cell Rev* 2011;7:413-24.
16. Laino G, d'Aquino R, Graziano A, et al. A new population of human adult dental pulp stem cells: a useful source of living autologous fibrous bone tissue (LAB). *J Bone Miner Res* 2005;20:1394-402.
17. Struys T, Moreels M, Martens W, et al. Ultrastructural and immunocytochemical analysis of multilineage differentiated human dental pulp- and umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Cells Tissues Organs* 2011;193:366-78.
18. Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* 1999;284:143-7.
19. Dai LJ, Moniri MR, Zeng ZR, et al. Potential implications of mesenchymal stem cells in cancer therapy. *Cancer Lett* 2011;305:8-20.
20. Le Blanc K, Pittenger M. Mesenchymal stem cells: progress toward promise. *Cytotherapy* 2005;7:36-45.
21. Fiorina P, Jurewicz M, Augello A, et al. Immunomodulatory function of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in experimental autoimmune type 1 diabetes. *J Immunol* 2009;183:993-1004.
22. Nauta AJ, Fibbe WE. Immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal stromal cells. *Blood* 2007;110:3499-506.
23. Lu LL, Liu YJ, Yang SG, et al. Isolation and characterization of human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells with hematopoiesis-supportive function and other potentials. *Haematologica* 2006;91:1017-26.
24. Wang Y, Han ZB, Song YP, et al. Safety of Mesenchymal stem cells for clinical application. *Stem Cells Int* 2012; 2012: 652034.
25. Reyes M, Lund T, Lenvik T, et al. Purification and ex vivo expansion of postnatal human marrow mesodermal progenitor cells. *Blood* 2001;98:2615-25.
26. Jiang Y, Jahagirdar BN, Reinhardt RL, et al. Pluripotency of mesenchymal stem cells derived from adult marrow. *Nature* 2002;418:41-9.
27. Le Blanc K, Ringdén O. Immunobiology of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells and Future Use in Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2005;11:321-34.
28. Friedenstein AJ, Petrakova KV, Kurolesova AI, et al. Heterotopic of bone marrow. Analysis of precursor cells for osteogenic and hematopoietic tissues. *Transplantation* 1968;6:230-47.
29. Friedenstein AJ. Precursor cells of mechanocytes. *Int Rev Cytol* 1976;47:327-59.
30. Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhyan RK, Gerasimov UV. Bone marrow osteogenic stem cells: in vitro cultivation and transplantation in diffusion chambers. *Cell Tissue Kinet* 1987;20:263-72.
31. Owen ME, Friedenstein AJ. Stromal stem cell: marrow-derived osteogenic precursors. *Ciba Found Symp* 1988; 136:42-60.
32. Hare JM, Traverse JH, Henry TD, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation study of intravenous adult human mesenchymal stem cells (prochymal) after acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:2277-86.
33. Wernicke CM, Grunewald TG, Juenger H, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells for treatment of steroid-refractory GvHD: a review of the literature and two pediatric cases. *Int Arch Med* 2011;4:27.
34. Baek SJ, Kang SK, Ra JC. In vitro migration capacity of human adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells reflects their expression of receptors for chemokines and growth factors. *Exp Mol Med* 2011;43:596-603.
35. Shi M, Li J, Liao L, et al. Regulation of CXCR4 expression in human mesenchymal stem cells by cytokine treatment: role in homing efficiency in NOD/SCID mice. *Haematologica* 2007;92:897-904.
36. Puglisi MA, Saulnier N, Piscaglia AC, et al. Adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells and hepatic differentiation: old concepts and future perspectives. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2011;15:355-64.
37. Ding DC, Shyu WC, Lin SZ. Mesenchymal stem cells. *Cell Transplant* 2011;20:5-14.
38. Caplan AI, Dennis JE. Mesenchymal stem cells as trophic mediators. *J Cell Biochem* 2006;98:1076-84.
39. Meirelles Lda S, Fontes AM, Covas DT, et al. Mechanisms involved in the therapeutic properties of mesenchymal stem cells. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 2009;20:419-27.
40. Uccelli A, Benvenuto F, Laroni A, et al. Neuroprotective features of mesenchymal stem cells. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol* 2011;24:59-64.
41. Lu Y, Jin X, Chen Y, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells protect islets from hypoxia/reoxygenation-induced injury. *Cell Biochem Funct* 2010;28:637-43.
42. Murohara T, Shintani S, Kondo K. Autologous adipose-derived regenerative cells for therapeutic angiogenesis. *Curr Pharm Des* 2009;15:2784-90.
43. Ichim TE, Alexandrescu DT, Solano F, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells as anti-inflammatories: implications for treatment of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Cell Immunol* 2010;260:75-82.
44. Chen PM, Yen ML, Liu KJ, et al. Immunomodulatory properties of human adult and fetal multipotent mesenchymal stem cells. *J Biomed Sci* 2011;18:49.
45. Aust L, Devlin B, Foster SJ, et al. Yield of human adipose-derived adult stem cells from liposuction aspirates. *Cytotherapy* 2004;6:7-14.
46. Faustini M, Bucco M, Chlapanidas T, et al. Nonexpanded mesenchymal stem cells for regenerative medicine: yield in stromal vascular fraction from adipose tissues. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods* 2010;16:1515-21.
47. Premaratne GU, Ma LP, Fujita M, et al. Stromal vascular fraction transplantation as an alternative therapy for ischemic heart failure: anti-inflammatory role. *J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011;6:43.

48. de la Garza-Rodea AS, van der Velde-van Dijke I, Boersma H, et al. Myogenic properties of human mesenchymal stem cells derived from three different sources. *Cell transplantation* 2012;21:153-73.
49. Vidal MA, Walker NJ, Napoli E, et al. Evaluation of senescence in mesenchymal stem cells isolated from equine bone marrow, adipose tissue, and umbilical cord tissue. *Stem Cells Dev* 2012;21:273-83.
50. Chen Y, Wang G, Zeng L. Adipose tissue or bone marrow, store for purchasing mesenchymal stem cells? *Circ J* 2011;75:2060-1.
51. Mirsaidi A, Kleinhans KN, Rimann M, et al. Telomere length, telomerase activity and osteogenic differentiation are maintained in adipose-derived stromal cells from senile osteoporotic SAMP6 mice. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med* 2012;6:378-90.
52. Chen HT, Lee MT, Chen CH, et al. Proliferation and differentiation potential of human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells isolated from elderly patients with osteoporotic fractures. *J Cell Mol Med* 2012;16:582-93.
53. Ahmadian Kia N, Bahrami AR, Ebrahimi M, et al. Comparative analysis of chemokine receptor's expression in mesenchymal stem cells derived from human bone marrow and adipose tissue. *J Mol Neurosci* 2011;44:178-85.
54. Rodriguez JP, Murphy MP, Hong S, et al. Autologous stromal vascular fraction therapy for rheumatoid arthritis: rationale and clinical safety. *Int Arch Med* 2012;5:5.
55. Suchánek J, Soukup T, Ivancáková R, et al. Human dental pulp stem cells-isolation and long term cultivation. *Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove)* 2007;50:195-201.
56. Gronthos S, Mankani M, Brahimi J, et al. Postnatal human dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) in vitro and in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000;97:13625-30.
57. Miura M, Gronthos S, Zhao M, et al. SHED: Stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003;100:5807-12.
58. Karahuseyinoglu S, Cinar O, Kilic E, et al. Biology of stem cells in human umbilical cord stroma: in situ and in vitro surveys. *Stem Cells* 2007;25:319-31.
59. Burchfield JS, Dimmeler S. Role of paracrine factors in stem and progenitor cell mediated cardiac repair and tissue fibrosis. *Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair* 2008;1:4.
60. Psaltis PJ, Paton S, See F, et al. Enrichment for STRO-1 expression enhances the cardiovascular paracrine activity of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal cell populations. *J Cell Physiol* 2010;223:530-40.
61. Laflamme MA, Murry CE. Regenerating the heart. *Nat Biotechnol* 2005;23:845-56.
62. Toma C, Pittenger MF, Cahill KS, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells differentiate to a cardiomyocyte phenotype in the adult murine heart. *Circulation* 2002;105:93-8.
63. Chen SL, Fang WW, Ye F, et al. Effect on left ventricular function of intracoronary transplantation of autologous bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 2004;94:92-5.
64. Amado LC, Saliaris AP, Schuleri KH, et al. Cardiac repair with intramyocardial injection of allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells after myocardial infarction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005;102:11474-9.
65. Gnechchi M, Zhang Z, Ni A, et al. Paracrine mechanisms in adult stem cell signaling and therapy. *Circ Res* 2008;103:1204-19.
66. Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhjan RK, Lalykina KS. The development of fibroblast colonies in monolayer cultures of guinea-pig bone marrow and spleen cells. *Cell Tissue Kinet* 1970;3:393-403.
67. Mets T, Verdonk G. Variations in the stromal cell population of human bone marrow during aging. *Mech Ageing Dev* 1981;15:41-9.
68. Simmons PJ, Torok-Storb B. Identification of stromal cell precursors in human bone marrow by a novel monoclonal antibody, STRO-1. *Blood* 1991;78:55-62.
69. Gronthos S, Graves SE, Ohta S, et al. The STRO-1+ fraction of adult human bone marrow contains the osteogenic precursors. *Blood* 1994;84:4164-73.
70. Gronthos S, Zannettino AC, Hay SJ, et al. Molecular and cellular characterisation of highly purified stromal stem cells derived from human bone marrow. *J Cell Sci* 2003;116:1827-35.
71. Dennis JE, Carbillet JP, Caplan AI, et al. The STRO-1+ marrow cell population is multipotential. *Cells Tissues Organs* 2002;170:73-82.
72. Shi S, Gronthos S. Perivascular niche of postnatal mesenchymal stem cells in human bone marrow and dental pulp. *J Bone Miner Res* 2003;18:696-704.
73. Stewart K, Monk P, Walsh S, et al. STRO-1, HOP-26 (CD63), CD49a and SB-10 (CD166) as markers of primitive human marrow stromal cells and their more differentiated progeny: A comparative investigation in vitro. *Cell Tissue Res* 2003;313:281-90.
74. Zannettino AC, Paton S, Kortessidis A, et al. Human multipotential mesenchymal/stromal stem cells are derived from a discrete subpopulation of STRO-1bright/CD34/CD45(-)/glycophorin-A-bone marrow cells. *Hae matologica* 2007;92:1707-8.
75. Freyman T, Polin G, Osman H, et al. A quantitative, randomized study evaluating three methods of mesenchymal stem cell delivery following myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2006;27:1114-22.
76. Boulton AJ, Vileikyte L, Ragnarson-Tennvall G, et al. The global burden of diabetic foot disease. *Lancet* 2005;366:1719-24.
77. Martin P. Wound healing: aiming for perfect skin regeneration. *Science* 1997;276:75-81.
78. Nishino Y, Yamada Y, Ebisawa K, et al. Stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED) enhance wound healing and the possibility of novel cell therapy. *Cytotherapy* 2011;13:598-605.
79. Kern S, Eichler H, Stroeve J, et al. Comparative analysis of mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow, umbilical cord blood, or adipose tissue. *Stem Cells* 2006;24:1294-301.
80. Lin H, Xu R, Zhang Z, et al. Implications of the immunoregulatory functions of mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of human liver diseases. *Cell Mol Immunol* 2011;8:19-22.
81. Kidd S, Caldwell L, Dietrich M, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells alone or expressing interferon-beta suppress pancreatic tumors in vivo, an effect countered by anti-inflammatory treatment. *Cytotherapy* 2010;12:615-25.
82. Van Poll D, Parekkadan B, Borel Rinkes IH, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell therapy for protection and repair of injured vital organs. *Cell Mol Bioeng* 2008;1:42-50.
83. Harvard Stem Cell Institute. Stem Cell Science: Overviews of Selected Disease Areas Type 1 Diabetes. 2008; April

84. Couri CE, Voltarelli JC. Stem cell therapy for type 1 diabetes mellitus: a review of recent clinical trials. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 2009;1:19.
85. Jiang R, Han Z, Zhuo G, et al. Transplantation of placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells in type 2 diabetes: a pilot study. *Front Med* 2011;5:94-100.
86. Davatchi F, Abdollahi BS, Mohyeddin M, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell therapy for knee osteoarthritis. Preliminary report of four patients. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2011;14:211-5.
87. Honmou O, Houkin K, Matsunaga T, et al. Intravenous administration of auto serum-expanded autologous mesenchymal stem cells in stroke. *Brain* 2011;134:1790-807.
88. Karussis D, Karageorgiou C, Vaknin-Dembinsky A, et al. Safety and immunological effects of mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in patients with multiple sclerosis and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2010;67:1187-94.
89. Kitada M, Dezawa M. Parkinson's disease and mesenchymal stem cells: potential for cell-based therapy. *Parkinsons Dis* 2012;2012:873706.
90. Politis M, Lindvall O. Clinical application of stem cell therapy in Parkinson's disease. *BMC Med* 2012;10:1.
91. Janebodin K, Reyes M. Neural Crest-Derived Dental Pulp Stem Cells Function as Ectomesenchyme to Support Salivary Gland Tissue Formation. *Dentistry* 2012;S13:001. doi:10.4172/2161-1122.S13-001
92. Ma L, Makino Y, Yamaza H, et al. Cryopreserved dental pulp tissues of exfoliated deciduous teeth is a feasible stem cell resource for regenerative medicine. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e51777.
93. Aggarwal S, Pittenger MF. Human mesenchymal stem cells modulate allogeneic immune cell responses. *Blood* 2005;105:1815-22.
94. Le Blanc K, Rasmusson I, Sundberg B, et al. Treatment of severe acute graft-versus-host disease with third party haploidentical mesenchymal stem cells. *Lancet* 2004;363:1439-41.
95. Sun L, Akiyama K, Zhang H, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell transplantation reverses multiorgan dysfunction in systemic lupus erythematosus mice and humans. *Stem Cells* 2009;27:1421-32.
96. Yamaza T, Kentaro A, Chen C, et al. Immunomodulatory properties of stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2010;1:5.
97. LePrince JG, Zeitlin B, Tolar M, et al. Interactions between immune system and mesenchymal stem cells in dental pulp and periapical tissues. *Int Endod J* 2012;45:689-701.
98. Morigi M, Imberti B, Zoja C, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells are renotropic, helping to repair the kidney and improve function in acute renal failure. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2004;15:1794-804.
99. Hopkins C, Li J, Rae F, et al. Stem cell options for kidney disease. *J Pathol* 2009;217:265-81.
100. Karaöz E, Demircan PC, Sağlam O, et al. Human dental pulp stem cells demonstrate better neural and epithelial stem cell properties than bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2011;136:455-73.
101. Anversa P, Kajstura J, Rota M, et al. Regenerating new heart with stem cells. *J Clin Invest* 2013;123:62-70.
102. Braunwald E. Cardiovascular science: opportunities for translating research into improved care. *J Clin Invest* 2013;123:6-10.
103. Estrela C, Alencar AH, Kitten GT, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells in the dental tissues: perspectives for tissue regeneration. *Braz Dent J* 2011;22:91-8